

Consultation Response**iGT098F: Changes to the iGT UNC code due to changes to the UNC code**

Responses invited by: 03 May 2017

Respondent Details

Name: Kirsty Dudley

Organisation: E.ON UK

Support Implementation ☒

Qualified Support ☐

Neutral ☐

Do Not Support ☐

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

We support the changes proposed to align the iGT UNC and the UNC to ensure robust delivery of the codes.

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

We agree with the Self Governance determination as there are no customer or competition impacts so it meets the Self Governance criteria.

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

None

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

The implementation delivers objective F as it delivers robust code links between the iGT UNC and the UNC which promotes code efficiencies.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

Costs are minimal and relate to administrative costs to ensure compliant delivery of the changes.

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

We support a PNID delivery so all changes are delivered in one go but support the Panel implementation date determination.

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

No comments

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Insert text here

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com